



**PATENT APPLICATION**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of

Docket No: Q73832

Eiji IHARA, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/751,118

Group Art Unit: 1754

Confirmation No.: 1360

Examiner: Wayne A. Langel

Filed: January 5, 2004

For: CUBIC BORON NITRIDE ABRASIVE GRAIN, PRODUCTION METHOD THEREOF,  
AND GRINDSTONE AND ABRASIVE CLOTH PAPER USING THE SAME

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111**

**MAIL STOP AMENDMENT**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated January 3, 2006, please consider the remarks as submitted herewith on the accompanying pages.

**REMARKS**

Claims 1 to 18 are all the claims pending in the application.

The Examiner states that the drawings filed on July 9, 2004 have been accepted.

Applicants point out, however, that applicants filed drawings in this case on January 5, 2004 with the original filing.

The Examiner has objected to the specification because it refers to drawing figures "FIG. 1" and "FIG. 2". The Examiner states that there are no "FIG. 1" and "FIG. 2" figures in the file.

The objection that the Examiner has made to the specification has resulted in applicants reviewing the specification and the drawings, as well as reviewing the published patent